

NEWS RELEASE

NATIONAL FEDERATION OF STATE HIGH SCHOOL ASSOCIATIONS

PO Box 690, Indianapolis, IN 46206

317-972-6900, FAX 317.822.5700/www.nfhs.org

All Team-Control Fouls Penalized by Throw-In

Contact: Mary Struckhoff

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

INDIANAPOLIS, IN (April 28, 2005) —As a result of a new rule implemented at the April 17-18 National Federation of State High School Associations (NFHS) Basketball Rules Committee meeting, the penalty for a team-control foul will be a throw-in in all cases. The team-control foul rule and three other changes made by the committee were subsequently approved by the NFHS Board of Directors.

The addition of Rule 4-19-7 and revision of Rule 7-5-5 establishes the definition of a team-control foul and now makes the penalty consistent with a player-control foul. With these revisions, the enforcement by officials is simplified and it is anticipated that the length of delays in games will be shortened.

"This change makes enforcement of the rule easier for officials," said Larry Boucher, assistant commissioner of Kentucky High School Athletic Association and chairman of the NFHS Basketball Rules Committee. "Under the present rule, it is sometimes difficult to determine whether a player in control has released the ball on a pass or interrupted dribble before the player charges. It is equally difficult to determine whether a player has received a pass before the player charges. This change now makes the penalty consistent for a player-control foul and a team-control foul."

Revisions to Rules 7-5-9 and 7-5-10 change the penalty for a double personal, double technical and simultaneous fouls from an alternating possession throw-in to resuming play from the point at which it was interrupted.

According to Boucher, no team should benefit from the act. The fouls will be charged to the players of each team and the ball will be put back in play. This change may increase the likelihood that

double fouls will be called more often when warranted.

In an effort to increase the likelihood of the infraction being called and to eliminate a tremendous

advantage, Rule 9-3-2 was added. The rule states that players leaving the court for unauthorized reasons

will be charged with a violation instead of a technical foul, which was the former penalty. "We want to

stress that the game of basketball is to be played within the lines," said Mary Struckhoff, NFHS assistant

director and liaison to the NFHS Basketball Rules Committee. "This will be a deterrent against players

leaving the court and also easier to enforce for officials."

Rule 3-4-15 prohibits a team member from removing his or her uniform within the confines of the

playing area. According to Struckhoff, players removing their uniforms to show disgust is becoming an

increasingly popular trend.

Previously, there was no rule that specifically addressed players removing their jerseys. With the

addition of this rule, the act will be penalized with a technical foul.

In addition to the rules changes each year, the committee identifies certain aspects of the game that

need special attention that are deemed Points of Emphasis. This year's Points of Emphasis focused on

three themes: unsporting conduct, free throws and intentional fouls.

Basketball is the most popular sport in girls high school athletics, with 457,986 participants in

17,061 schools, according to the 2003-04 High School Athletics Participation Survey conducted by the

NFHS. In terms of participation, it is the second-most popular sport for boys, with 544,811 participants,

and it ranks first with 17,389 schools offering programs.

###

2005-06 NFHS Basketball Rules Changes

Prohibits a team member from removing his/her jersey and/or pants/skirt within the visual confines of the playing area. The penalty is a technical foul.
Changed the penalty to a throw-in for a team-control foul in all cases. A new definition for a team-control foul was also added.
Changed the penalty for double personal, double technical and simultaneous fouls from an alternating possession throw-in to resuming play from the point of interruption. A new definition for "point of interruption" was also added.
Changed the penalty for leaving the court for an unauthorized reason to a violation from a technical foul.
2005-06 Major Editorial Changes
Clarified the definition of an intentional foul.
Clarified when a three-point goal shall be scored.

Section 10-5 was reorganized to clarify the coaching box rule.

2005-06 Points of Emphasis

- Sporting Behavior Free Throws 1.
- 2.

10-5

Intentional Fouls 3.